

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,174	10/13/2006	Bruno Pasquale Franco Nardo	01213/0203491-US0	1175
7278 7590 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. BOX 770			EXAMINER	
			HAYMAN, IMANI N	
Church Street New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2000	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/553,174 NARDO ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner IMANI HAYMAN 3767 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-10 and 18-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/553,174

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

In reply to the Election Restriction filed 08 January 2009, applicant elects claims
11-17 drawn to Species I.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/553,174

Art Unit: 3767

 Claims 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weitzel et al. (US Patent No. 6,913,588 B2), hereinafter Weitzel in view of Davidner et al. (US 2002/0077581), hereinafter Davidner.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Weitzel discloses the invention substantially as claimed for a machine comprising at least two catheters, an extracorporeal circuit designed to connect said two catheters (figure 1). However, Weitzel is silent on the oxygenation device. Davidner discloses an oxygenation device connected to said extracorporeal circuit, said oxygenation device being suitable to introduce oxygen into the blood in extracorporeal circulation in said circuit (paragraph [0014]; and wherein there are also means designed to control introduction of oxygen into the blood in extracorporeal circulation (figure 2). Hence it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Weitzel with the oxygenator device as taught by Davidner to gradually increase the oxygen content of the venous blood for gradually increasing tissue oxygenation.

Regarding claim 13, Weitzel discloses a machine wherein there are also means for hemofiltration of the blood in extracorporeal circulation (figure 4, ref. no. 300)

Regarding claim 14, Weitzel discloses a machine wherein said means are designed to measure the hematocrit and the partial pressure of the oxygen present in the blood in extracorporeal circulation (column 6, lines 30-59).

Regarding claim 15, Weitzel discloses a machine wherein there are also means designed to heat the blood in extracorporeal circulation (column 2, line 30-31).

Art Unit: 3767

Regarding claim 16, Weitzel discloses a machine wherein there are also means designed to introduce anticoagulating substances into the blood in extracorporeal circulation (column 2, lines 31-33).

Regarding claim 17, Weitzel discloses a machine wherein there are also means to detect and eliminate any air bubbles present in the blood in extracorporeal circulation (figure 4, ref. no. 182).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IMANI HAYMAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5528. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY THRU FRIDAY 7:30 AM TO 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KEVIN SIRMONS can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,174 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/l. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3767 /Kevin C. Sirmons/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767